G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

Reserve

192/

(Misc)

File No. 670

Serial Nos.

1—4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for May 22

SUBJECT.

Theft of Sevartha fees in the Navy temple by Headmuasthia Ramaswamy

---

File

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

-22-
Misc
670-21-1

1921 22

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To :

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore
Revenue department

Dated
Despatched 30-11-1922.
Received

General No. Reference 606 of 28-22
No.---

Enclosure No Nil

Reporting the theft of Sevartha fees to be collected in the Nilakantheswara Swamy temple at T. Narsipur.

Sub Office perusal
Bm
29/11

15 December

5963-385
29 & 22
Seen.

Draft put up
Bm
8/12/22

Sl 2. No 6171 dt 5-12-22
Do the D.C. Mysore - Action

Draft
Bm
8/12
Please furnish with the information
Correspondence dated 28-11-22 from
was pending with this office
the Collector, Mysore

R/L No. 606 of 1922

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT;
Dated, Mysore,... 19th April 1922.

From

P.F. Bowring, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.*
Muzrai Department.

SIR,

I have the honor to report for the information of Government that report has been received from the Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, to the effect that the Sevartha fees etc., collected in the Sri Srikanteswara Swami Temple at Nanjangud from 7th to 12th instant inclusive and kept in a Teakwood box in the Temple was found on the morning of the 13th idem to have been stolen by somebody, the lock that was put on the box being broken open. The exact amount that was kept in the box is not yet known. It is reported that the amount was in the custody of the Temple Head Gumastha and Nagadi Gumasta. It is not clear under what circumstances the Sevartha income collections of 6 days were allowed to be kept in the custody of officials of the Temple instead of being kept in the Temple Safe.

2. The Head Gumastha in his report to the Temple Peshkar dated 13-4-1922 states that the probable amount that was in the box might be nearly Rs.200/- or so. This statement cannot be believed. The matter is being enquired into by the local officers and the Police are investigating the case.

3. A further report will be submitted after the result of the investigation is known. However I am

constrained to remark that the Temple Peshkar is responsible for the loss for, it was his duty to see that the day's collection was either remitted to the Treasury immediately or kept in the iron safe pending remittance to Treasury.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to — —

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191*

Date of issue 3-5-22

Signed by [illegible]

No.

617

To

The Dy Com.r

Mysore D.t

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 606 of 4.7.22 & 20.4.22 respecting on the theft of Devastha fees collected at the Sri Sri Kantheswara Swami temple at Nanjangud, I am directed to request that [illegible]

over.

SUBJECT

may be expected. I am also to
state that Govt consider some
disciplinary action necessary
~~to be taken~~ pending result of
investigation, and ~~asks~~ to
~~know~~ if enquiries whether any
the officials are primarily
responsible should not be
placed under suspension
forthwith.

An early reply is
requested.

I have &c

for Secy
Govt of India
Home Dept

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—25,000—8 8 11

SEN. SECRETARIAT
VII
MUZRAI
4660
2-22
Muz 670-11-3

1922 22

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.
Revenue Department

Dated
Despatched 19 May 22
Received
General No. Revenue 606 of 21-22
No.

Enclosure No. Nil.

Submit report re the officials responsible for the theft of the couple saris that were sent by Parcel post.

Submit for perusal

11/5

6461-464

15. 5. 22 — Report awaited

15. 5. 22

Ref. — No. 668 of 1922

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER.
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated, Mysore, 9th May 1922

From

P.F.Bowring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.*

SIR, **Huzrai Department.**

With reference to your letter No.6171/-Huz.670-21 dated 3rd May 22 enquiring by the officials responsible for the theft of the temple Sevarthi fees at Nanjangud should not be all be under suspension etc, I have the honor to state that both the temple head gumasta and the Nagadi gumasta who were responsible for the loss, have been placed under suspension ever since. During the course of Police investigation, the temple head gumasta was arrested and he is under Police custody. I had deputed my office head accountant to audit the temple accounts with a view of finding out the exact amount of loss. From the available records and information, he reports that Rs.391/- and odd is the amount to be accounted for by the gumasta in whose custody the cash was left. Of this, I understand that Rs 87/- and odd have been made good by Peshkar Venkataramaniah in virtue of his sole responsibility for all temple cash. I am enquiring the Sub Divisional Officer as to why the balance of nearly 70/- is not yet been caused to be made good by the said Peshkar. The new Peshkar appointed, reported himself for duty only to the end of the last month. But, it has come to my notice that the old peshkar has not yet completely handed over charge of the temple and is trying to get his leave cancelled in order to continue and he has been ordered to hand over charge forthwith. The result of the Police investigation is not yet known. I have instructed the District Superintendent

erintendent of Police to complete the investigation very early and report the result. On hearing from him further report will be submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

[illegible]

Deputy Commissioner,

Hyderabad District.

Submitted for information, with reference to Members' Orders. Our D.C's letter dt. 20-4-21. (Secl.) May this await the further report promised above? See docket page

[illegible]

No. C.606/21-22.Muz.

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
MYSORE DISTRICT,

Dated, Mysore,... 15th May 1922 ... *192*
184

From

P.F. Bowring, Esq.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness*
the Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.
Uzrul Department

SIR,

In continuation of this office letter No C.606/21-22.Muz. dated the 9th instant, I have the honor to state that a report has been received from the District Superintendent of Police, Mysore, to the effect that the investigation connected with the theft of the Sevartige fees in the Lakshmipuram Temple, was closed and the accused Head Gumastha Ramaswamy was placed with a charge sheet before the Special First Class Magistrate, Mysore, on 9th May 1922 for an offence under Section 409 of the Indian Penal Code. (Criminal Breach of trust by public servants etc.,) The case, I understand, has been posted to 23rd May 1922 for hearing. The result of the case will be reported to Government as soon as the enquiry is completed and the judgment delivered by the Court.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Sub Jn proposal
Write reply
Bm
vvs
1 Member
6700-211
23.5.22

SEBn
Secy
24.5.22
Bm
vvs

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Dated 18th May 1922.

Despatched 19th May 1922.

Received

General No. Ref. no. 606 of 1922
No.

Enclosure No Nil.

Submitted, a further report, in connection with the theft of the Devastha feet in Nanja up in temple